

Structures in Fire · from Cardington to 9/11/2001 and beyond

火災時の構造挙動:カーディントン実大火災実験から 9.11WTC 崩壊まで,そして将来

December 14, 2012

Hosted by



Kyoto University Global COE Program
Global Center for Education and Research on
Human Security Engineering for Asian Megacities

Co-hosted by



Kyoto University Inter-Graduate School Program for Sustainable
Development and Survivable Societies

Structures in Fire - from Cardington to 9/11/2001 and beyond

Representative: Kazunori Harada

Date: December 14th, 2012

Place: Seminar room, C2-213, Dept. of Architecture and Architectural Engineering, Kyoto University Katsura Campus, Japan

Organized by the Global COE Program “Global Center for Education and Research on Human Security Engineering for Asian Megacities”

Co-organized by Kyoto University Inter-Graduate School Program for Sustainable Development and Survivable Societies (GSS)

Invited Person: Asif Usmani (Prof., The University of Edinburgh, UK)

Number of Participants: 21 persons

Participants: Kazunori Harada (Prof., Dept. of Architecture & Architectural Engineering), 3 students in HSE course, 1 student in GSS course, 16 students in department of Architecture & Architectural Engineering.

Purpose

The purpose of this seminar is to learn about the mechanism of building collapse due to fire action. Referring to the UK's experience on the development of engineering methodology, the concept of structural engineering against fire action is introduced. The history of development based on actual fires, large scale experiments are described, followed by the tragedy of WTC collapse. The needs for rational engineering approach are specified especially for tall and super-tall buildings. The participants learned about the history of battle between fire accidents and engineering approaches to cope with them.

Invited Speaker

Prof. Asif Usmani is the head of the Institute for Infrastructure & Environment, School of Engineering, the University of Edinburgh. His background is computational mechanics and engaged in education and research on structural behavior during normal use as well as during fire accidents. He is well-known as his computational methods for structural behavior and design against fire effect.

Achievement and Results

An overview of the structures in fire, research at the University of Edinburgh over the past 15 years was presented. Lessons learnt from the Cardington fire tests in the middle 90s and their implications on the practice of structural fire engineering in the UK will be presented. The tragic and unprecedented events of September 11, 2001 forced a re-examination of previous understandings and stimulated research on tall buildings. Edinburgh research has been about

discovering possible inherent weaknesses in structural design of tall buildings (including WTC towers). The results from this work produced interesting insights on tall building response to multiple floor fires. Much of this and previous work has led to a strong effort towards developing performance based structural engineering methodologies for fire resistance of structures. A brief summary of this and some other major projects at Edinburgh will be presented at the end.

Through this seminar, it was found that computational structural engineering in fire is becoming more and more popular in UK for designing structures especially tall and super-tall buildings. For those buildings, the traditional approach is not always effective. Fire scenarios including multi-story fires should be included for design of important buildings.



Group photo of participants

火災時の構造挙動： カーディントン実大火災実験から 9.11WTC 崩壊まで、そして将来

代 表 者： 原田 和典

開催日時： 2012 年 12 月 14 日

開催場所： 京都大学桂キャンパス G2 棟ゼミ室 213

主 催： 京都大学グローバル COE プログラム「アジア・メガシティの人間安全保障工学拠点」

共 催： 京都大学グローバル生存学大学院連携プログラム

招 聘 者： アシフ・ウズマーニ教授（エジンバラ大学、社会基盤環境センター長）

参加人数： 21 名

主な参加者： 原田和典（教授、建築学専攻）、GCOE コース履修生 3 名、GSS プログラム履修生 1 名、工学研究科建築学専攻・建築学科の学生 16 名

目的・概要

このセミナーの目的は、火災による建物崩壊のメカニズムとそれを防止するための工学的方法について学ぶことである。セミナーでは、火災に対処する工学的方法論の英国における発展の経過を解説し、火災に対処するための工学的構造設計の概念を示す。歴史的経過としては、注目を集めた火災事故を踏まえた大規模火災実験が行われた。その結果に基づき、工学的設計法が開発され普及してきた。その矢先に WTC 崩壊が起こったため、超高層ビルの耐火設計について方法論の再構築が求められている。セミナー参加者は、火災事故とこれを防ぐための工学的方法の関係を学ぶことができる。

講師について

アシフ・ウズマーニ教授は、英国エジンバラ大学の社会基盤環境センター長である。学術的バックグラウンドは計算力学で、日常時の構造設計に加えて、特に火災時の構造挙動の予測と崩壊防止のための設計法を研究している。火災時の構造予測の数値計算方法に関して著名な研究者である。

セミナーの様子・得られた成果

講師により、英国エジンバラ大学における 15 年間の研究成果が示された。1990 年代中盤にカーディントン実験場における実規模火災実験から学んだこと、その成果が英国内の建築の構造設計に与えた影響が概説された。2001 年 9 月 11 日の WTC 崩壊は、高層および超高層ビルの構造耐火設計の基本的コンセプトの再考を迫られている。

エジンバラ大学における超高層ビルの研究は、WTC などの超高層ビル特有の火災に対する脆弱性を明らかにした。研究の結果、多層同時火災における構造体の特異な挙動を明らかにした。これらの研究成果を踏まえ、構造体の性能的な耐火設計を実現する努力が行われている。セミナーの最後の部分では、これに関連する研究内容が紹介された。

本セミナーを通じて、英国での計算力学に基づく構造耐火設計が普及を進めていること、特に超高層ビルに対しては、既存の設計手法では不十分であり、仮想同時火災などの火災シナリオに基づいた合理的方法の必要性を認識できた。



参加者集合写真



京都大学グローバルCOEプログラム

アジア・メガシティの人間安全保障工学拠点

Global Center for Education and Research on Human Security Engineering for Asian Megacities

GCOE seminar on

Structures in Fire • from Cardington to 9/11/2001 and beyond

Date: Fri., 14., Dec., 2012, 14:45-16:00

Venue: Kyoto University Katsura Campus
Building C2, Room 213 (2nd floor)

Invited speaker:

Prof. Asif Usmani, The University of Edinburgh, UK

Prof. Asif Usmani is the head of the Institute for Infrastructure & Environment, School of Engineering, The University of Edinburgh. His background is computational mechanics and engaged in education and research on structural behavior during normal use as well as during fire accidents. He is well-known as his computational methods for structural behavior and design against fire effect.

Abstract of Lecture:

An overview of the structures in fire • research at the University of Edinburgh over the past 15 years will be presented. Lessons learnt from the Cardington fire tests in the mid 90s and their implications on the practice of structural fire engineering in the UK will be presented. The tragic and unprecedented events of September 11, 2001 forced a re-examination of previous understandings and stimulated research on tall buildings. Edinburgh research has been about discovering possible inherent weaknesses in structural design of tall buildings (including WTC towers). The results from this work produced interesting insights on tall building response to multiple floor fires. Much of this and previous work has led to a strong effort towards developing performance based structural engineering methodologies for fire resistance of structures. A brief summary of this and some other major projects at Edinburgh will be presented at the end.

Participation of anyone interested is welcome. This seminar is co-organized by GSS (Global Sustainability and Survivability) interdisciplinary seminar on man-made disaster. Inquiry can be sent to Prof. Kazunori HARADA (Dept. of Architecture and Architectural Eng., harada@archi.kyoto-u.ac.jp)



GCOEセミナー

火災時の構造挙動：カーディントン実大火災実験から 9.11WTC 崩壊まで、そして将来

日時：12月14日（金）14:45～16:00

場所：京都大学桂キャンパスC2棟 213ゼミ室

講演者：アシフ・ウズマーニ教授（エジンバラ大学）

講演者は、エジンバラ大学工学部の社会基盤・環境センターの筆頭教授を務められています。ご専門は計算力学で、構造設計全般と火災時の構造体の挙動予測と制御に関する教育・研究にご尽力されております。

講演概要：

このセミナーでは英国エジンバラ大学における15年間にわたる火災時の構造挙動研究成果を示します。特に1990年代中盤にカーディントン実大火災実験での教訓とそれが構造設計実務に与えた影響を解説します。2001年9月11日のWTC崩壊は、超高層建物の既存知見の再検討を余儀なくされました。エジンバラ大学の研究チームは、WTCのような超高層ビルが必然的に持つ弱点を洗い出し、多層同時火災における興味深い挙動を明らかにしました。これらの研究成果に基づき、性能に基づく耐火設計への努力が続けられています。これに加え、エジンバラ大学での関連研究を交えて紹介します。

- ・ ご関心のある方の来聴を歓迎します。
- ・ このセミナーはグローバル生存学大学院連携プログラム 学際ゼミナール（人為災害・事故）との共同開催となります。
- ・ セミナー問い合わせ先

原田和典（工学研究科建築学専攻，教授，harada@archi.kyoto-u.ac.jp）



Seminar at Kyoto University, 14 Dec. 2012

‘Structures in Fire’: from Cardington to 9/11/2001 and beyond

Asif Usmani
BRE Centre for Fire Safety Engineering



Institute for Infrastructure and Environment
School of Engineering
The University of Edinburgh



Where is University of Edinburgh?





City of Edinburgh (Old Town)



Forth rail bridge (site of 3 bridges from 3 centuries)





Scottish Parliament (autonomous)



University of Edinburgh, Old College, 1583





University and the School of Engineering

University of Edinburgh

College of Science
& Engineering

College of Humanities
& Social Science

College of Medicine
& Vet. Medicine

School of Physics

School of Chemistry

School of Mathematics

School of Biological Sciences

School of GeoSciences

School of Informatics

School of Engineering (5 Research Institutes)



Materials &
Processes

Digital Signal
Processing

Mirco & Nano
Systems

Energy
systems

Infrastructure
& Environment

BRE Centre for
Fire Safety Eng



www.see.ed.ac.uk/fire

BRE CENTRE for FIRE SAFETY ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

[Home](#) - [Blog](#) - [People](#) - [Research](#) - [Publications](#) - [Teaching](#) - [Conferences](#) - [Consultancy](#) - [Links](#) - [Contact](#)

Fire Safety Engineering

The University of Edinburgh has been an important institution in the field of *Fire Safety Engineering* for over three decades. Many of those who are now leaders in the field came to Edinburgh to study and research under the supervision of the late **Prof David Rasbash**, one of the main pioneers of the discipline, and **Prof Dougal Drysdale**, author of the definitive text book on the subject, '*Introduction to Fire Dynamics*' (Wiley, 2nd edition 1998). Teaching and research in fire safety continues at Edinburgh under the leadership of **Prof Jose Torero**, appointed to the BRE/RAE Chair in Fire Safety Engineering in 2004.

The BRE Centre for Fire Safety Engineering is part of the **Institute for Infrastructure and Environment, School of Engineering at The University of Edinburgh**.

Edinburgh was recently voted the UK's '**most desirable city to live in**.'

Follow us:



What we do



The University of Edinburgh

We are a world-class research fire centre with 40 research members from more than 18 different nationalities. The BRE Centre for Fire Safety Engineering exists to:

- Equip tomorrow's leaders in the field



'Structures in Fire': from Cardington to 9/11/2001 and beyond

Part 1: Fundamentals

Key references:

Fundamental principles of structural behaviour under thermal effects
Fire Safety Journal, 36:721–744, 2001

Assessment of the fire resistance test with respect to beams in real structures
Engineering Journal, American Institute of Steel Construction, Inc., 40(2):63-75, 2003

Key events in the structural response of a composite steel frame structure in fire
Fire and Materials, 28:281–297, 2004

Behaviour of a small composite steel frame structure in 'long-cool' and 'short-hot' fires,
Fire Safety Journal, 39:327–357, 2004


Understanding the Response of Composite Structures to Fire
Engineering Journal, American Institute of Steel Construction, Inc., 42(2):83-98, 2005

A New Design Method to Determine the Membrane Capacity of Laterally Restrained Composite Floor Slabs in Fire,
Part 1: Theory and Method, *The Structural Engineer*, 83(19):28–33, 2005

A New Design Method to Determine the Membrane Capacity of Laterally Restrained Composite Floor Slabs in Fire,
Part 1: Validation, *The Structural Engineer*, 83(19):34–39, 2005




Effect of fire on structures



Materials of construction are exposed to high temperatures




Structural steel stress-strain behaviour



Source: ENV 1993-1-2:1995
(S235 steel)



Siliceous concrete stress-strain behaviour



Source: ENV 1992-1-2:1995



Consequence of “material” based thinking

- ♦ Concrete is considered a “**good**” material and reinforced concrete structural members/components are considered safe if sufficient cover to steel reinforcement is provided
- ♦ Steel structures are thought to need “**protection**” from fire as steel is a good conductor of heat
- ♦ Engineers have therefore focussed disproportionately on “protecting” steel structures



Traditional approach to building fire safety


Fire safety requirements are usually expressed as

- | | | |
|--------------|---|--|
| ♦ Insulation | → | For general building fire safety |
| ♦ Integrity | → | Compartmentation:
maintaining structural and thermal barriers to prevent spread for a sufficient length of time to enable safe egress of all occupants |
| ♦ Stability | → | For structure/structural members
Fire resistance:
length of time for which the member or other component is required to withstand exposure to the fire regime given by the standard fire without the load capacity falling below the fire limit state factored load or loss of integrity and/or insulation |



Prescriptive of “fire protection” approach

- Provides protection during the fully developed stages of a fire (post-flashover)
- maintain the elements of construction below a critical temperature (steel <550° C)
- Design based on the fire resistance test BS 476 “yellow book” approach
- Calculate the H_p/A (or A_m/V) for the section
- Read Table in Code to find necessary fire resistance rating (0.5, 1, 1.5, or 2 hours) in terms of the building type, height and occupancy
- Decide on protection material
- Look up the fire protection thickness



Section factors

High A

Low V

Fast heating




Low A

High V

Slow heating




Determination of section factor A/V :



Profiled protection

$$\frac{4B + 2D - 2t}{\text{steelcross-sectionalarea}}$$



Box protection

$$\frac{2(B + D)}{\text{steelcross-sectionalarea}}$$

Source: www.mace.manchester.ac.uk/project/research/structures/strucfire/default.htm



Code bases fire resistance requirements

Fire resistance required (from Approved Document B: England and Wales 2000)

	Height of top storey-metres			
	<5	<20	<30	>30
Approx. no. of storeys	2	5/6	8/9	9+
Residential	30	60	90	120
Offices	30	60*	90*	120 plus sprinklers (floors 90 minutes)
Shops, commercial	60*	60	90*	
Industrial and storage	60*	90*	120*	
Car parks (closed)	30	60	90	
Car parks (open-sided)	15	15	15	60


* Reduced by 30 mins when sprinklered



"Look up" tables

changed to A_m/V to be consistent with Eurocode

Hp/A Up to	Dry Thickness in mm to provide fire resistance of					
	1/2 hr	1 hr	1.5 hr	2 hr	3 hr	4 hr
30	10	10	14	18	26	35
50	10	12	17	22	33	43
70	10	13	19	25	37	48
90	10	14	21	27	39	52
110	10	15	22	28	41	54
130	10	16	22	29	42	56
150	10	16	23	30	44	57
170	10	16	23		44	




Download latest version from:

www.mace.manchester.ac.uk/project/research/structures/strucfire/DataBase/References/defaultSteel.htm



Fire protection



Comparative costs of steel frame buildings

Cost of the structure is approximately 10% of the cost of the building

Cost of fire protection can be between 10% to 30% of the cost of structure (depending upon, usage & height)

Therefore 1-3% of the total cost of a steel frame building can just go on "fire protection"

Source: Comparative Structure Cost of Modern Commercial Buildings (SCI report)





Broadgate Phase 8 fire (23 June'90)

14 storey building under-construction

Fire duration 4.5 hrs

Temp > 1000° C for 2 hrs

Fire protection incomplete, steel temperatures estimated to be under 600° C

13.5m span/1m deep trusses and floors had over 500mm permanent deflections and buckled members and unprotected columns had shortened by upto 100mm, but there was no overall collapse

Total losses ~ £25 M, struct. repair ~ £2 m (1500 m²) completed in 30 days



Source: *Structural fire Investigation of Broadgate Phase 8 fire* (SCI report), available from www.steelbiz.org





Aftermath of Broadgate fire

- ♦ Structural behaviour in fire was found to be much better than expected (especially so, because a lot of the steel was unprotected)
- ♦ Steel industry with EU funding constructed an 8-storey steel frame building in Cardington (UK) and carried out 6 full scale fire tests
- ♦ The results showed that the structural behaviour was much more complex and was not explainable only by “material” stress-strain behaviour at high temperature
- ♦ The other key effect ignored in traditional practice, *i.e.* change of member dimensions as a result of *thermally induced deformation* and the *restraint* to it was found to have a considerable role to play in the overall structural response




Traditional practice against reality

Isolated single structural member with simple boundary conditions (such as in a furnace)



subjected to “standard” fire

composite structural members with finite restraints against rotation/translation at boundaries





subjected to “real” fire




Simplest “realistic” model of composite beam

Structure subjected to the illustrated temperature distribution





Decompose temperature into simpler effects



Governing parameters


Thermal expansion induced by mean temperature increment ΔT

$$\varepsilon_T = \alpha \Delta T$$




Thermal curvature ϕ induced by through depth thermal gradient $T_{,y}$

$$\varepsilon_\phi = 1 - \frac{\sin \frac{l\phi}{2}}{\frac{l\phi}{2}}$$




Combination of the two effects leads to large deflections and often very low stresses (internal forces)






Beam with restrained ends



free to rotate at ends



Uniform temperature increase in restrained beam



Compressive forces build up


The beam material must **yield**
or it should **buckle**
as the temperature increases

At what temperature increment a rigidly restrained steel beam ($\sigma_y = 275$ MPa) yield?





Uniform thermal gradient in restrained beam



Combined behaviour (assuming slender beam)

$$\varepsilon_T = \alpha \Delta T$$



$$\varepsilon_\phi = 1 - \frac{\sin l\phi/2}{l\phi/2}$$

$$\varepsilon_{buckling} = \frac{\pi^2}{\lambda^2}$$

λ is slenderness ratio

$$\zeta = \frac{\varepsilon_T - \varepsilon_\phi}{\pi^2 / \lambda^2}$$


dimensionless parameter






Deflection at midspan of beam


Deflection at mid-span due to thermal expansion and thermal bowing



Axial forces in the beam

Axial Force in the model





Seminar at Kyoto University, 14 Dec. 2012

**‘Structures in Fire’:
from Cardington to 9/11/2001 and beyond**

Part 2: Whole structure behaviour of real buildings

Key references:

A structural analysis of the first Cardington test,
Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 57(6):581–601, 2001

A structural analysis of the Cardington British Steel Corner Test,
Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 58(4):427–442, 2002

How did the WTC Towers Collapse? A New Theory,
Fire Safety Journal, 38:501–533, 2003

Effect of Fire on Composite Long span Truss Floor Systems,
Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 62:303–315, 2006

Behaviour of small composite steel frame structures with protected and unprotected edge beams,
Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 63:1138–1150, 2007


Structural response of tall buildings to multiple floor fires,
Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE, 133(12):1719–1732, 2007

A very simple method for assessing tall building safety in major fires,
International Journal of Steel Structures, 9:17–28, 2009

Tall building collapse mechanisms initiated by fire: Mechanisms and design methodology,
Engineering Structures, 36:90–103, 2012



BRE Large Building Test Facility




Cardington frame

8 Storey steel frame composite structure

2 tests by BRE

4 tests carried out by "British Steel" (Corus),
shown on building plan below



Download report from:


www.mace.manchester.ac.uk/project/research/structures/strucfire/DataBase/References/MultistoreySteelFramedBuildings.pdf





Restrained beam test (columns protected)



FE model of restrained beam test







Bottom flange stress in steel beam at 150°C

$$\frac{M_w z}{(I)_x} + E_{\max} \alpha \Delta T + E_{\max} \alpha T_{,z} z$$

$$w = 16.5 \text{ kN/m} \quad \Delta T = 80 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \quad T_{,z} = 0.5 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C/mm}$$

$$127 \text{ Mpa} \quad 160 \text{ Mpa} \quad 286 \text{ Mpa} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 573 \text{ Mpa} \gg 318 \text{ Mpa}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{cr}} = \frac{\pi^2 E}{12(1-\nu^2)} \frac{0.425}{\left(\frac{b_f}{t_f}\right)^2} \Rightarrow 524 \text{ Mpa}$$

=> Plastic yielding!



Restrained beam test “buckling” and cracking






Local buckling (BS Corner Test)




Local buckling (BS Demonstr. Test)





Location

Structural details



Test 3 - Transducer Positions for Measuring Vertical Deflections



BS Corner Test Fire Compartment


Max Compartment
temperatures ~ 1100 °C



Max Unprotected Steel
temperatures ~ 1000 °C

Max Deflections ~ 300 mm




FE model for BS Corner test








Slab ϵ_x strains at reinforcement level



All of the slab in the fire compartment is under compression




Slab ϵ_y strains at reinforcement level




Compatibility enforced tensile strains in the y direction



Tensile membrane action in slabs



Typical membrane stress distribution at restrained boundary





Lessons (horizontal structural members only)

Tensile membrane action (TMA) in the spans and compressive membrane action (CMA) near perimeter observed

This load carrying mechanism more reliable in fire, thermal strains help produce the “right shape”

Capacity further enhanced by thermal pre-stressing (CMA)

Local effects such as local buckling of lower flange not important




Plantation Place (Arup Fire)






Two sub-structure models




Fire scenarios






Results from model 1




Unprotected 10m panel






Protected 10m panel



Final Proposal (accepted)

Saving of £250K on Plantation Place





Fires in tall buildings



Mandarin hotel and CCTV building, Beijing





Fires in Tall buildings

- ▶ The risk of multiple floor fires is ignored in design – *even single whole floor fires not considered*
- ▶ Fires in tall buildings often involve multiple floors
- ▶ Designers still mainly rely simply on “*protecting*” - to keep temperatures below ~550°C
- ▶ The real “*protection*” (risk reduction) obtained is unquantified (no calculations on system behaviour)
- ▶ The probability is low, the consequence of collapse is high -therefore the risk can be very high, for instance.....



Collapse of World Trade Centre 7 (11/9/01)





The WTC Collapses

Report from the official US investigation (available at wtc.nist.gov) provides a detailed description of the probable causes of the collapse of the twin towers

The key factor in the collapse was the post-impact fire, as both buildings had remained stable after impact


University of Edinburgh team studied the effect of multiple floor fires (ignoring impact damage) on the structure of the towers (before NIST investigation was completed) and highlighted many of the issues picked up by NIST

Some of the key findings from this work are presented




The Structure

- ◆ 417m (WTC 1) and 415m (WTC 2)
- ◆ Innovative design for a light economical structure and column free office space
- ◆ Very closely spaced columns: 1m centres connected by 1.3 m deep spandrel beams
- ◆ Formed a perforated tube for wind loads
- ◆ No requirement to transfer lateral load allowed the floor system to be very light (900 mm light steel struss composite with 100 mm concrete slab)
- ◆ Floor acted as diaphragm to provide lateral restraint to all columns






Wind Pressures around the World



WTC twin towers' key structural details



900mm deep 'open-web joists' (trusses) topped with 100mm concrete deck




Deforming thermally against strong lateral restraint (expansion and bowing)
Expansion at 500 °C = 90mm => midspan deflection of 0.9m
(assuming rigid restraints)






First model



Analysing one long-span
(18m) representative frame



Design column buckling mode









Fire definition

Floors

$$T(t) = T_0 + (T_{\max} - T_0)(1 - e^{-at})$$


Columns



$$T(t) = T_0 + \frac{(400 - T_0)}{t_{\max}} t$$

Column Temperature always < 400°C



1000°C fire for different values of 'a'










All fire scenarios analysed

Fire Scenario		Number of floors under fire and range of Maximum temperatures		
Tempr. distr.	a	1	2	3
A	0.005	400°C-1000°C	400°C-1000°C	1000°C
B	0.005	400°C-1000°C	400°C-1000°C	-
C	0.005	400°C-1000°C	400°C-1000°C	-
C	0.004	400°C-1000°C	400°C-1000°C	-
C	0.003	400°C-1000°C	400°C-1000°C	-
C	0.001	400°C-1000°C	400°C-1000°C	400°C-1000°C





Summary of results













Detailed analysis of C-F3-500-0.005





Column vertical displacements












Possible explanation






Thermal expansion
included



Thermal expansion
excluded



Hat-truss influence on load redistrib- ution











3D model: Truss deformations



Collapse mechanism from 3D model





Photograph from NIST report

WTC2: East Face

Time: 9:21:29 AM
~18 minutes post impact

Maximum inward bowing of
columns approximately
10 inches



NIST



Photograph from NIST report

Inward Bowing of Perimeter Columns About 2 Minutes Prior to Collapse: WTC 2 East Face


9:58:56 a.m.



NIST




Are there generic collapse mechanisms?





Model to test generic mechanisms

	Universal Beam	Universal Column	Beam udl (N/mm)	Column load (N)	Floor span
Strong beam	533x210x92	305x305x198	45	6000	10
Weak beam	305x102x28	305x305x198	45	6000	10




VQUS/EXPLICIT Version 6.5-1
= 0.0






Simple method to assess collapse propensity

0. Start with an adequate model structure & assume number of fire floors involved
1. Assume an appropriate deflection to carry to all the load (udl) by tensile membrane action in the floor (T)



$$H\delta = wL^2/8$$

2. Determine if adjacent floors are able to sustain the reaction without instability, if not - WEAK FLOOR COLLAPSE occurs
3. If adjacent floors remain stable, check columns using an axial force-moment interaction diagram (function of heating) if the maximum moments and forces remain inside the yield boundaries, if not - STRONG FLOOR COLLAPSE




(a) Weak floors buckle

(b) Stiff floors provide sufficient reaction





Catenary action (TMA) and flexural resistance







$$\text{Applied moment} = wL^2/8$$

$$\text{Tensile membrane or catenary resistance} = H\delta$$

$$\text{Residual moment capacity} = Mp(T, H)$$









Seminar at Kyoto University, 14 Dec. 2012

'Structures in Fire': from Cardington to 9/11/2001 and beyond

Part 3: Development of simulation tools and future vision

Key references:


FireGrid: An e-infrastructure for next-generation emergency response support,
Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing, 70:1128–1141, 2010


Testing a damaged RC frame in fire,
Proceedings of the ICE - Structures and Buildings, 165(7):335–346, 2012

Using OpenSees for structures in fire,
Journal of Structural Fire Engineering, 3(1):57–70, 2012.



Future Vision: FireGrid Project (2006 – 2009)









The demo experiment

- ◆ Demonstrator designed for live presentation:
 - Jose Torero provided commentary from Viewing Room.
 - Operator in Control Room interacted with C3I.
- ◆ Fire tracked by FireGrid system:
 - Delivering real-time status info for incident, along with predictions of impending hazards
 - based on HPC model output,
 - in form amenable to fire incident commander.



Results





Simulation tool development



[HOME](#)
[USER](#)
[DEVELOPER](#)
[SUPPORT](#)
[PARALLEL](#)
[Copyright](#)
[SITEMAP](#)

[About](#)
[News](#)
[Calendar](#)
[Registration](#)

HOME

[OPENSEESWIKI](#)

[MESSAGE BOARD](#)

[USER DOC](#)

[DOWNLOAD](#)

[SOURCE CODE](#)

[BUG REPORT](#)

To customize the quicklinks, go to [Site Map](#)

OpenSees 2.4.0 Released

Version 2.4.0 of the [OpenSees binary](#) is now available for download. Here is the [change log](#).

Discovering OpenSees

The next seminar in the web-based [Discovering OpenSees: Surfing the waves of OpenSees](#) learning series will occur October 24th and October 25th. The session will be broadcast twice at times that will allow users in all time zones around the world to participate. This session is titled: [Getting Started With OpenSees and OpenSees on NEEShub](#) and will occur on October 24th at 4.00 PM and October 25th at 10.00 AM Pacific Time.

OpenSees Days 2012

The material for OpenSees Days 2012 workshop can be found [here](#). Videos of the workshop are being prepared and will be posted when finished.

OpenSees Challenge 2013

<http://opensees.berkeley.edu/>



Simulation tool development

Dashboard › OpenSees › UoE OpenSees
Browse
Log In
Search



UoE OpenSees

3 Added by Andrew McFarlane, last edited by Liming Jiang on Oct 04, 2012 ([view change](#))

University of Edinburgh
OpenSees
Developers Group

[Home](#)
[About](#)
[People](#)
[Users](#)
[Developers](#)
[Publications](#)
[Download](#)

OpenSees

The Open System for Earthquake Engineering Simulation, featured as an [object-oriented](#) and [open source](#) framework.





About OpenSees at UoE

The [OpenSees developers group](#) based in the [School of Engineering, University of Edinburgh](#) first started in 2009. The aim of this work is to add a "structures in fire" modelling capability in OpenSees.

Users

A number of wiki pages are provided to help users to carry out thermomechanical analyses with OpenSees using simple examples.

Developers

A detailed description of all the new or modified classes developed for enabling thermomechanical analyses in OpenSees.


<https://www.wiki.ed.ac.uk/display/opensees/UoE+OpenSees>

63



Current activities and key aims

♦ Adapting OpenSees for modelling structure in fire




♦ Performance based structural engineering

- Analysis and design of structures with explicit treatment of uncertainty
- PEER framework
- Need for powerful and flexible simulation tools

♦ Hybrid testing with OpenSees as the main analysis tool as in Nees



Future simulation tool for full fire and structural response





Thank you



Merry Christmas and a happy New Year

Kyoto University HSE Technical Report Series 236

English title Structures in Fire • from Cardington to 9/11/2001 and beyond
火災時の構造挙動：カーデントン実験から 9.11WTC 崩壊，そして将来

Date: September 5, 2008

Co-hosted by Kyoto University Global COE Program “Global Center for Education and
Research on Human Security Engineering for Asian Megacities”

and by

Kyoto University Inter-Graduate School Program for Sustainable Development
and Survivable Societies

Office Address: C1-3-182, Katsura Campus, Kyoto University, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto, 615-8540, Japan

Tel: +81-75-383-3412/Fax: +81-75-383-3418



**Kyoto University Global COE Program
Global Center for Education and Research on
Human Security Engineering for Asian Megacities**

**京都大学グローバル COE プログラム
アジア・メガシティの人間安全保障工学拠点**